## LECTURE 7: <br> COLlUSIVE OLIGOPOLY (CONT’D), COALITION GAMES

## Principle of Group Stability

$\square$ imputation: a potential final distribution of payoffs to all players $\left(a_{1}\right.$, $\left.a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$
$\square$ a coalition of 2 players is formed only if the total profit can be distributed so that both are better off: $v(1,2) \geq v(1)+v(2)$, or, in other words, there exist $a_{1}, a_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}+a_{2} & =v(1,2) \\
a_{1} & \geq v(1) \\
a_{2} & \geq v(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Principle of Group Stability

$\square$ imputation: a potential final distribution of payoffs to all players $\left(a_{1}\right.$, $\left.a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$
$\square$ a coalition of 2 players is formed only if the total profit can be distributed so that both are better off: $v(1,2) \geq v(1)+v(2)$, or, in other words, there exist $a_{1}, a_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}+a_{2} & =v(1,2), \\
a_{1} & \geq v(1), \\
a_{2} & \geq v(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square \quad$ similarly, a coalition of $m$ players can be formed only if it pays for all its subcoalitions to take part
$\rightarrow$ principle of group stability: coalition $K$ can be formed only if there exists an imputation that satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in K} a_{i}=v(K) \\
& \sum_{i \in L} a_{i} \geq v(L) \quad \text { for all subcoalitions } L \subset K
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exercise 1: Group Stability

$\square$ Consider an oligopoly with three firms and the following characteristic function

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(\varnothing) & =0, & v(1,2) & =5.5, \\
v(1) & =2, & v(1,3) & =4, \\
v(2) & =3, & v(2,3) & =5.5, \\
v(3) & =2.5, & v(1,2,3) & =8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ which of the four multiplayer coalitions are stable?

- write down the stability conditions explicitly for each of the four coalitions:

$$
\sum_{i \in K} a_{i}=v(K), \quad \sum_{i \in L} a_{i} \geq v(L) \quad \text { for all subcoalitions } L \subset K
$$

- find feasible outcomes $a_{i}$ for the stable coalitions, find colliding inequalities for the unstable ones


## Core of the Oligopoly

$\square$ a straightforward extension of the core of a cooperative bimatrix game:
$\square$ definition: a set of all imputations that satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}=v(Q) \\
& \sum_{i \in K} a_{i} \geq v(K) \quad \text { for all coalitions } K
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ i.e., core is the set of all imputations that satisfy the principles of:
$\square$ group stability for the grand coalition

- collective rationality - maximum profit is generated
$\rightarrow$ this assumes that the grand coalition generates the maximum total profit
- sometimes defined in a different way for the case where the grand coalition doesn't generate the total profit (complicated) (note: there's always a winning coalition, one that is stable and generates the maximum profit amongst the stable coalitions)


## Exercise 2: Core of the Oligopoly

$\square$ Consider an oligopoly with three firms and the following characteristic function

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(\varnothing) & =0, & v(1,2) & =5.5, \\
v(1) & =2, & v(1,3) & =4, \\
v(2) & =3, & v(2,3) & =5.5, \\
v(3) & =2.5, & v(1,2,3) & =x .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ How many imputations are there in the core of the game, given that
a) $x=10$ ?
b) $x=8$ ?
c) $x=7.5$ ?

Note: if there's no imputation in the core, there's an empty core.

## Blocking effect

$\square$ consider an oligopoly with three firms:
$\square$ price function

$$
p=f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=6-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)
$$

- capacities \& costs:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{1}=[0,6] & c_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x_{1}+3 \\
X_{2}=[0,3] & c_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{3}{4} x_{2}+2 \\
X_{3}=[0,2] & c_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{5}{2} x_{3}+1
\end{array}
$$

$\square$ in the competitive oligopoly setting, the NE is:

- $x_{1}{ }^{*}=4, x_{2}{ }^{*}=3, x_{3}{ }^{*}=0$.
- $\pi_{1}{ }^{*}=5, \pi_{2}{ }^{*}=3.25, \pi_{3}{ }^{*}=-1$.
- 7 units sold at 2.5
$\square$ equilibrium characteristic function:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
v(1,2,3)=9.125, & x_{1}=5.5, x_{2}=0, x_{3}=0, & 5.5 \text { units sold at } 3.25 \\
v(1,2)=10.125, & x_{1}=5.5, x_{2}=0, x_{3}^{*}=0, & 5.5 \text { units sold at } 3.25
\end{array}
$$

$\square$ on itself, firm 3 always makes a loss $\rightarrow$ typically, leaves the market
$\square$ blocking effect: imagine there are two scenarios

1. firm 3 leaves, firms 1 and 2 collude

- firms 1,2 choose the output of $(1,2)$ coalition, 5.5 units sold at 3.25

2. firm 3 is subsidized, remains in the market, creates competitive environment

- firms 1,2 choose the NE output, 7 units sold at 2.5
$\square$ the difference in consumer surplus is at least

$$
5.5 \times(3.25-2.5)=4.125
$$

- a subsidy of 1 is enough to keep firm 3 on the market
$\rightarrow$ subsidizing firm 3 yields greater consumer surplus, even if the subsidy is paid by the consumers (the increase in consumer surplus is at least $4.125-1=3.125$ )
$\square$ blocking effect subsidies are a form of a state regulation


## Shapley Value

- a measure of players' negotiating power in making coalitions
- introduced by Lloyd Shapley in 1953
$\square$ the formula is quite nasty, but the idea is quite simple, and so is the calculation for small $N$
$\square$ imagine that the grand coalition is formed in such a way that players come in random order and gradually form the grand coalition (each newcomer joining the existing coalition)
$\square$ the contribution of player $i$ joining coalition $K$ is defined as

$$
v(K \cup\{i\})-v(K)
$$

$\square$ the Shapley value of player $i$ is the average $i$ 's contribution, the Shapley value is a vector of such average contributions for all players. We are averaging across all possible ways the grand coalition can be formed, i.e. across all possible orderings of players
$\square$ as the players come at random, each ordering of the players is equally likely; therefore, the result can be viewed as the expected contribution of player $i$

## Shapley Value

## Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(\varnothing) & =0, & v(1,2) & =5.5, \\
v(1) & =2, & v(1,3) & =4, \\
v(2) & =3, & v(2,3) & =5.5, \\
v(3) & =2.5, & v(1,2,3) & =8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

| order | contribution of player $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1} 23$ | $v(1)-v(\emptyset)=2-0=$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| 132 | $v(1)-v(\emptyset)=2-0=$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| 213 | $v(1,2)-v(2)=5.5-3=$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| $3 \mathbf{1 2}$ | $v(1,3)-v(3)=4-2.5=$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| $23 \mathbf{1}$ | $v(1,2,3)-v(2,3)=8-5.5=$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| $32 \mathbf{1}$ | $v(1,2,3)-v(2,3)=8-5.5=$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
|  | Shapley value of player $\mathbf{1}=\sum / \mathbf{6}=$ | $\mathbf{1 3 / 6}$ |

## Shapley Value

- Mathematically,

$$
\text { Shapley value of } i=\sum_{K \subseteq Q \backslash\{i\}} \frac{|K|!(N-|K|-1)!}{N!}(v(K \cup\{i\})-v(K))
$$

| $\|K\|$ positions, | $N-\|K\|-1$ positions, |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\|K\|!$ possible orders | $(N-\|K\|-1)!$ possible orders |



N positions,
N ! possible orders

## Exercise 3: Shapley values

$\square$ again, consider the oligopoly from exercise 1
$\square$ calculate the Shapley values of player 2 and 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(\varnothing) & =0, & v(1,2) & =5.5 \\
v(1) & =2, & v(1,3) & =4, \\
v(2) & =3, & v(2,3) & =5.5 \\
v(3) & =2.5, & v(1,2,3) & =8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Coalition Games

$\square$ the approach we used for collusive oligopolies can easily be extended to a wider framework of coalition games

- deal with cooperative conflicts
- typically modelled as games in characteristic function form
$\square$ with coalition games, the typical task is to...

1. ... express the characteristic function explicitly

- sometimes, we have only a verbal description (rule) for the coalitions' payoffs

2. ... find the core of the game (defined as in case of oligopolies)
3. ... calculate the Shapley value

## Question:

Consider a coalition game with $n$ players. How many different coalitions can player 1 join?
(Remember: we treat the empty and single-member groups as coalitions, too)

## Exercise 4: Miners

$\square$ consider a group of $n$ miners who have discovered large bars of gold
$\square$ two miners can carry one piece of gold, so the payoff of coalition $K$ is

$$
v(K)= \begin{cases}|K| / 2, & \text { if }|K| \text { is even } \\ (|K|-1) / 2, & \text { if }|K| \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

where $|K|$ denotes the number of members of $K$.

- what is the core of the game? Assume that...
- $n$ is even
- $n$ is odd

$\square \quad$ what's the Shapley value of a miner in the game?


## Exercise 4: Miners

Core of the game:
$\square \quad n$ is even
$\square n=2$ : the core is made up by all couples of non-negative $a_{1}, a_{2}$ with the total of 1 ( $\rightarrow$ infinite number of imputations)

- a "fair" imputation: $a_{1}=a_{2}=1 / 2$
- $n>2$ : core $=$ a single imputation $a_{1}=a_{2}=\ldots=a_{n}=1 / 2$
- first of all, if an imputation is in the core, it has to satisfy

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=v(Q)=\frac{n}{2}
$$

- second, the stability conditions have to hold for all subcoalitions of the grand coalition (how many subcoalitions exist?)
- we don't have to write down all the conditions, as most of them are quite similar - players have identical conditions


## Exercise 4: Miners

- stability conditions for the pairs of player $1+$ player $i$ :

$\left.\begin{array}{rl}a_{1}+a_{2} & \geq 1 \\ a_{1}+a_{3} & \geq 1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{1}+a_{n} & \geq 1\end{array}\right\} n-1$ inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n} & \geq n-1 \\
(n-2) a_{1}+\sum_{n=1}^{n} a_{i} & \geq n-1 \\
(n-2) a_{1}+v(Q) & \geq n-1 \\
a_{1} & \geq \frac{n-1-v(Q)}{n-2}=\frac{n-1-\frac{n}{2}}{n-2}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ the same holds for players $2,3, \ldots, n \rightarrow$ all players get $1 / 2$

## Exercise 4: Miners

$\square \quad n$ is odd: the core is empty (!)
$\square$ using the same approach as for even number of players, we obtain:

$$
(n-2) a_{i}+v(Q) \geq n-1
$$

- now $v(Q)=(n-1) / 2$, which yields

$$
a_{i} \geq \frac{1}{n-2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}
$$

- if all players receive this amount, the total is

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots a_{n}=\frac{n}{n-2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}=\frac{n}{n-2} \cdot v(Q)
$$

$\square$ an imputation that satisfies both $a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots a_{n}=v(Q)$ and the stability conditions doesn't exist

## Exercise 5: Shoes

$\square$ for the moment ignore shoe sizes: a pair consists of a left and a right shoe, which can then be sold for $€ 10$ (a single shoe on itself is worthless)
$\square$ consider a coalition game with 2001 players:

- 1000 of them have 1 left shoe
- 1001 of them have 1 right shoe


1. Would you prefer to be a right- or left shoe owner in this game?

- what ratio of profit shares would you expect for a pair of right- and left shoe owners who combine their shoes and sell the pair?

2. Find the characteristic function for a coalition of $n$ left-shoe owners and $m$ right-shoe owners
3. Find the core of the game
$\square$ Is the core empty? If not, are there multiple imputations in the core, or is there just one?

## Exercise 5: Shoes

$\square \quad$ characteristic function for a coalition of $n$ left-shoe owners and $m$ rightshoe owners:

$$
v(K)=10 \times \min (m, n)
$$

$\square$ grand coalition: $v(Q)=10,000$
$\square$ stability conditions for pairs: each pair of a right- and left shoe owner must obtain at least $€ 10$ (no requirements for other kinds of pairs)
$\square$ this is only possible when all left shoe owners get $€ 10$, right shoe owners get nothing (!)
$\rightarrow$ criticism of the core concept

## LECTURE 7: <br> COLlUSIVE OLIGOPOLY (CONT’D), COALITION GAMES

